



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/707,140	11/24/2003	JIMMY WU	11413-US-PA	1139	
31561 7	590 10/19/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			SINGH, RAMNANDAN P		
7 FLOOR-1, N ROOSEVELT	NO. 100 ROAD, SECTION 2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TAIPEI, 100	•		2646		
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/707,140	WU ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	T
		Ramnandan Singh	2646	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communical or Reply			ddress
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL assions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the part of the	ING DATE OF THIS CON 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however ation. ry period will apply and will expire SI by statute, cause the application to the statute.	MMUNICATION. er, may a reply be timely filed  X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status			,	
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n 23 May 2005		
		☐ This action is non-final		
	Since this application is in condition for			ne merits is
- ,	closed in accordance with the practice			io monto lo
Disposit	ion of Claims		,	
- 4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the applie	ration		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v		ion	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		IVII.	
	Claim(s) <u>1, 3-4, 6-8</u> is/are rejected.	•		
_	Claim(s) <u>2.5 and 9</u> is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirem	ent	
•		· and/or orosion roquitori	On.	
Applicati	ion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the E		·	•
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	$\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ obje	cted to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the a	ttached Office Action or form F	PTO-152.
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		.,,,,,,,	
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been receiv	ed.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been receiv	ed in Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of t			al Stage
	application from the International			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for			
		·		
\ttachmen	t(e)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	<b>∆</b> .□	torvious Summons (DTO 442)	
	e of References Ched (FTO-092) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948) P	terview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date	)/SB/08) 5) 🔲 N	otice of Informal Patent Application (Pither:	ГО-152)
	rademark Office			
TOL-326 (R		Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail	Date 20051015

Art Unit: 2646

# Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on May 23, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's argument---"More specifically Laturell fails to teach or suggest that POTS phone and IP phone are using the same key panel" on page 9.

Examiner's response--- Examiner respectfully disagrees. Laturell teaches a combined key panel comprising an IP phone keypad (1020) connected to an IP system (i.e. broadband access network (130)) and a POTS phone keypad (1045) connected to the POTS phone system wherein the combined key panel is controlled by a state/command interpreter (1070) [Fig. 10; Para: 0104-0105].

### 2. Status of Claims

Claims 8 and 9 are amended.

Claims 1-9 are pending.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claims 1, 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Laturell [US 20040052242 A1].

Page 3

Regarding claim 1, Laturell teaches a dialing circuit apparatus incorporating an Internet Protocol (IP) phone and a plain Old Phone Service (POTS) phone shown in Fig. 10, comprising:

an IP phone system (i.e. broadband access network 130) for activating an IP phone (1020) according to an IP phone number;

a POTS phone system (140) for activating a POTS phone (1040) according to a POTS phone number;

an insulation system comprising a POTS/VoBB gateway 1065 and a cross-connect element 1085, electrically connected between the IP phone system (130) and the POTS phone system (140) for insulating the IP phone system from the POTS system; and

a key panel control (i.e. state/command interpreter (SCI) 1070) connected to the IP phone system and the POTS phone system;

wherein when the city power is on and a second phone number having a first format of the POTS phone number is inputted from the key panel, the second phone number is transferred by the insulating system and used as the POTS phone number to active the POTS phone, and the IP phone is disabled (i.e. XCE 1085);

wherein when the city power is off and a third phone number having a second format of the POTS phone number is inputted from the key panel, the IP phone is

Art Unit: 2646

turned off without a power supply from the city power, and the third phone number is used to active the POTS phone (see claims 7, 22) [ Para: 0126; Para: 0002-0005; 0009-0011; 0013-0014; 0036-0038; 0059; 0102-0112]; claims 1-12].

Regarding claim 3, Laturell further teaches the dialing circuit apparatus, wherein the first format of the POTS phone number is the same as the second format of the POTS phone number (i.e. when a city power is on).

Regarding claim 4, Laturell further teaches the dialing circuit apparatus, wherein the first format of the POTS phone number is different from the second format of the POTS phone number [when the city power is Off. Claim 7].

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laturell as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ahn et al [US 20030021261 A1] and further in view of Aponte te al [US 6,371,780 B1].

Regarding claim 6, although Laturell teaches the dialing circuit apparatus for the

IP phone system including an insulation system comprising a gateway system 1065 and cross-connect element 1085 shown in Fig. 10 [Para. 0102; 0106; 0108; 0111], no details about the functional structure of the IP phone system including a logic converting unit and main control unit are disclosed. So one of the ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to seek any known circuit of an internet gateway to fulfill such details, such as Ahu et al.

Ahu et al teach an IP gateway of the IP phone system comprising a logic converting unit (35) connected to the IP phone keypad for converting the phone number to an IP dialing data signal; a main control unit (21) connected to the logic converting unit and an IP phone control unit connected to the main control unit for receiving the IP phone transmission signal and outputting an IP phone control signal [Figs. 2-4; Para: 0012-0013; 0015; 0035-0037; 0054; 0093].

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the internet gateway of Ahu et al with Laturell to enable the design of the invention.

Further, the combination of Laturell and Ahu et al does not teach expressly using a RJ-45 jack for connecting the phone line. However, it is well-known in the art.

Aponte et al teach using a RJ-45 port wherein the RJ-45 is connected to a phone company and to the IP phone control unit via an IP phone interface for activating an IP phone by the IP phone control signal [Figs. 3-5, 9, 12; col. 5, lines 15-65; col. 3, lines 17-60; col. 7, lines 21-64].

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the RJ-45 port of Aponte et al with the combine system of Ahu et al and Laturell to provide a robust functional way for users to evolve from telephony to IP phone systems [Aponte et al; col. 5, lines 23-28].

Claim 7 is essentiall similar to claim 6 and is rejected for the reasons stated above.

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laturell as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Cassista et al [US 20020007459 A1].

Regarding claim 8, Regarding claim 6, although Laturell teaches the dialing circuit apparatus comprising the insulation system comprising a gateway system 1065 and cross-connect element 1085 shown in Fig. 10 [Para. 0102; 0106; 0108; 0111], no details about the structure of the insulation circuit system are disclosed. So one of the ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to seek any known circuit to insulate the circuit connection, such as Cassista et al.

Page 7

Art Unit: 2646

Cassista et al not teach expressly the dialing circuit apparatus wherein the insulation system comprises a phototransistor circuit [Fig. 2; Para. 0055; 0113].

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the phototransistor circuit of Cassista et al with Laturell to enable the design of the invention.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 2, 5 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## 9. <u>Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance</u>:

Claim 2 identifies the uniquely distinct feature of an integrated dialing system comprising a combined key panel, wherein the combined key panel includes: an IP phone keypad connected to the IP phone system; and a POTS phone keypad connected to the POTS phone system; wherein the key panel is disposed above the IP phone keypad and the POTS phone keypad, wherein each key of the key panel is disposed above a key of the IP phone keypad and above a key of the POTS phone keypad, whereby when a phone number is inputted, both the IP phone keypad and the

POTS phone keypad receive the phone number simultaneously. As such, claim 2 requires that both the IP phone keypad and the POTS phone keypad receive the phone number simultaneously when a phone number is inputted. While the closest prior art, Laturell [US 20040052242 A1], Ahu et al [US 20030021261 A1] and Phillips et al [US 20040176085 A1] each teach a POTS phone system and an IP phone system, Laturell using a state/command interpreter (SCI), Ahn et al using an internet telephony gateway system, and Phillips et al using a network interface device; none of them teach or suggest that when a phone number is inputted, both the IP phone keypad and the POTS phone keypad receive the phone number simultaneously. As such, the prior art, either singularly or in combination, fail to anticipate or render the above underlined limitation obvious. Therefore, claim 2 is allowable.

Page 8

Claims 5 and 9 are allowable due to dependence from claim 2.

#### Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2646

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ramnandan Singh whose telephone number is (571)

272-7529. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH (8:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on (571) 272-7564. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramnandan Singh

Page 9

Examiner

Art Unit 2646

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER